E		
-		•
*		
	TE/ YE	Z T O

LICENSING/APPRO	VAL/REGISTRATION INS	PECTION SUM	IMARY (PAGE OF PAGES
44 3° 455MCTFACHIFF		TELEPHONE	1	1 14
Glen Mills School		(610)459-8100	OCYF REGIONAL STAFF APPE	TOVAL DATE
Fectordville, PA 19331		Delawere		
ercienar eryl Debram/Robert DiStantislao		INSPECTION DATE		
·		02/11/00; 03/16/00		-
INSTITUTE INSPECTION INSPECTION COMPLA	INT UNANMOUNCED INSPECTION	RANDOM SAMPLE		
SPACOBE 2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3. CORRECTION REQUIRED	4.REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDERS PLAN OF CORRECTION	
P.S.911(b) On February 11, 2000 the facility prevented departmental staff and two state police officers access to the facility and/or to a child. On the same date, the facility denied the department and state police the opportunity to privatel interview a child. Access to the facility and the child and the opportunity to privately interview the child was denied by Chief Executive Officer Unit Leader Cother Glen Mills staft. Details regarding these events are describelow.		scility Ismediately	#1 See Attached Response Pag	CONSECTION 15
At approximately 1:30PM, the department conducted a site visit in response to an allegation of child abuse. The departmental staff person was accompanied by two state police officers. During the course of the investigation several other allegations of child abuse were received by the State of Dalaware Office of Children and				
TE LEGAL ENTITY REPRESENTATIVE MUST COMPLE ETURN THIS ENTIRE DOCUMENT TO YOUR REGION	AL OFFICE BY			IS DOCUMENT.
Durguand		K	XECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
SIGNATURE OF THE LEGAL ENTITY PREPRESE	MATIVE (610) 459-8100	August 16 200	MILE D	

العناد المراجية Approval/ negistration inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

EGULATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3. CORRECTION REQUIRED	4 RECURRED CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S FLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	6. PLAN OF CONNECTION/MESPONSE STATUS
	Youth. A state police officer re-				
	quested access to a child in order		1 1		! `
	to privately interview the child		1		j
	relating to allegations of child		1		
	abuse. Access to the facility and		1		
	the child was repeatedly deried and				1
	physically obstructed by Unit Leader		1 6		1
	and several other Glen		1 1	•	,
	Mills staff, even following explain-	·	1		
	ation by the state police officer that		ļ <u> </u>	•	Ì
	they were obstructing a police investi	-]		
	gation of a crime. Although access				1 :
	to the facility continued to be denied	1	1		1
	the state police officer eventually				1
	located the child and removed him from		1		
	his living unit, Van Buren Hall, and		!		
	placed the child in a police vehicle.		j		
	Wrile seared inside the police vehicle		1		
7	with a stare police officer, at least		·	•	ħ
	three Glen Mills staff members, in-		1 1		Ų
	cluding Chief Executive Officer.		1		9
	began to yell at				l l
	the child and the officer. Mr.		1		
	end other Glen Mills staff	•	[[
	chreatened the state police officer		i 1		Ā
	with aggressive movements and speech.				9
	Both the staff be-		i k		ă l
	came increasingly belligerent. The]		
	state police officer threatened arrest				
	of the Gien Mills staff if they did				
	not back away. Fearing for the		1 1		
	safety of the child and himself, the				
	officer left the facility grounds and			·	
	took the child to the state police		1		
	barracks in order to ensure continued		1		1
	safety.			-	l l
	Ì	•	1 1		1
			1 1		#
	<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>		i

ensing/Approvat/Hegistration inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

BULATION	NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	I. Correction required	4. RECURRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	6. PLAN OF CHARECTOR/RESPONSE STATUS
	At the request of the state police officer, about six to eight additional state police personnel arrived at the scene to assist departmental staff in carrying out its statutory duties.				,
	On March 16, 2000 the facility again prevented departmental staff and one state police officer access to five children. On the same date, the facility denied the department and state police the opportunity to privately interview one child. Access and the opportunity to privately interview these children was denied by Onief Executive Officer Unit Leader				
ເມ	Clen Mills staff. Details of these events are described below. At approximately 11:00am, the department conducted a site visit in				
	response to an allegation of child abuse. The departmental staff person was accompanied by one state police officer. When the departmental staff person and state police officer requested to see the five children about whom child abuse allegations had been made, the facility denied socess to the youth. The departmental staff person reported to his supervisor that access was being denied. The super-		_		
	visor contacted the jurisdiction responsible for the placement and requested that a contact be made with Gien Mills management so that the children could be interviewed. It was only after this contact was made	r			

the state of the s		
niterrenak/isvarana/anierration	inemarian Summaria	- L'OUTIONAIN CHAM
ensing/Approval/Hegistration	mahaanan anministik	- naummanati

MOLTAJUE	NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	J. CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	6. PLAN OF COAMICHON/RESPONSE STATUS
	that departmental staff were given access to the youth and permitted to interview them. Access to the youth by state police was demied by the facility.				1
4	while being interviewed by departmental staff, one child reported that he did not feel safe being interviewed at the facility and requested that the interview take place off grounds. The facility denied state police access to the child for the purpose of transporting the child to the state police barracks to interview the child access to the child was denied by Glen Mills Chief Executive Officer and Glen Mills counsel Cay Vilium, Esquire. The placed his body between the state police officer and the child to prevent the officer from escorting the child to a state police vehicle. The officer was permitted to escort the child off grounds only after he advised that	-			
Si(a) Abuse.	he would be arrested if he did not move out of the way. Facility staff failed to report two incidents of child abuse regarding B. This child sustained a chipped tooth and chest bruising as a result of corporal punishment administered by two Clen Mills staff members, Z and Y. The child reported the injuries to other staff members and requested that these incidents be noted in the unit log. He also requested medical and dental treatment. No report of alleged child abuse was made by the	Report all incidents in which a youth alleges abuse by a staff member to Outldline. Revise facility policy regarding reports of alleged abuse and submit to the Department for approval. Once approved, Department staff and facility staff jointly trainall facility staff in their responsibility regarding reporting. All youth and their parents must be informed in writing of their right to be free from abuse or mistreatment and must be informed of who they should tell if the	staff training and documents tion in en-	8	

Basing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

GULATION	Z. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3. CORRECTION RECKIRED	4. REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	S. PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	S PLAN OF CORRECTION/MESPONSE STATUS
	facility nor was the child provided with any medical care.	believe they have been abused by staff at the facility. Document in the youth's record and in the employee's record. After completing this with all youth currently in residence, this must continue with all youth admitted to the program. Documentation of same must be placed in each youth's record. (see also corrective action 3800.32(b)).	days of receipt of LIS. For notice to youth and parents and documentation in records: Complete within 45 calendar days of receipt of LIS.		4
Ćμ				,	
				-	
		ı			

ensing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sneet

j4

RULATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	I. CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	G. PLAN OF COARECTION/RESPONSE STATUS
.51(b) : Aluse	The facility's plan of safety for Glen Mills staff member, X, who has been criminally charged with aggravated assault, simple assault and reckless endangement on February 2, 2000 did not assure the safety of children. On February 11, 2000 it was observed by the State of Delaware Office of Children and Youth staff person that staff person X was still working directly with children in Van Buren Hall. The facility's Managing Director confirmed that X was still working directly with children on March 8, 2000. The facility's plan of supervision regarding 13 pending child abuse investigations did not assure the safety of the subject child and other children being served at the facility. Of the plans of supervision submitted, 11 of the 13 were not submitted within 24 hours of the facility being made aware of the existence of the abuse report. Of the plans received, none were sufficient to assure the safety of the children in residence at the facility upon initial submission. The plans were returned to the facility for resubmission on April 4,2000. The facility notified the Department on April 5, 2000 that those named as alleged perpetrators were no longer employed by Glen Mills Schools. That plan of supervision was accepted by the Department on April 5, 2000	during the investigation, removal of the alleged perpetrator from direct child care and removal of the alleged perpetrator from the facility grounds. Based on the nature of the allegations, plans of supervision could include any of these options.	For sub- mission of plans: Immediately For facility protocols: within 10 work days of receipt of LIS.	#3 See Attached Response Page 17	

ansing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

ULATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3 CORRECTION REQUIRED	4. RECURSED 5. CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	6. PEAN CH COMMECTION/RESPONSE STATUS
.3i ication	Three children reported that they were told there would be retaliation	Provide all youth and their parents with written motice of their right to	Submit written	#4 See Attached Response Page 18	
41.5	if allegations of abuse were made re-	lodge grievances or complaints regard-	potice		
rrevance		ing child rights without feer of re-	documents		
dures.	A, stated that he was told by staff	taliation by staff. Provide all staff	to the		#
	that if the child said he did not	with written notice of the procedures	Department:		§
•	feel safe at Glen Mills and was re-	to be followed when a grievance or com-	15 work		•
	moved from GPen Mills, that he would	plaint is made. Provide all staff	days follow		
•	go to his state's youth detention	with written notice that there can be	ing receipt		1
	center. Another youth, B, stated	no retaliation of any kind against any	of LIS.		ł
	that he was told by Glen Mills staff	youth who files a grievance or com-	Provide	•	1
-	that if he said he did not feel safe at Glen Mills, that he would go back	plaint. Establish and implement a progressive distiplinary process for	notice to		1
	to his county's detention center and	use with staff who violate this policy			
٠.	would have to start his commitment all		parents and		#
	ower again. A third youth, C, stated	ments are to be approved by the Depart-	· — — "		
	that he was told by Glen Mills staff	ment. Evidence of this must be pro-	youth's		
	in Madison Hall that children who	vided to the Department.	record:		
7	make allegations of child abuse	,	20 work days		
	never win. He also stated that after		following		
	the case is unfounded, Glen Mills		receipt of the LIS		1
	would sue the child's parents for	,	I SUB-1712		
	false accusations.				
32(b)	Application of the facility's level	Abolish the seven level behavior	Abolish sever	ACO Asta dad Danas Danas 10	
Eic	system of restrictive procedures	management policy. Eliminate all	levels:	#S See Attached Response Page 19	1 :
3	subjects children to corporal punish-	physical contact between staff and	Imediately		
	ment, threats and harassment. The	youth other than that which complies	Eliminate		
	level system is applied to administer	with the restrictive procedures re-	physical cor- tact between		i
	corporal punishment for behavior	quirements of Crapter 3900.202-213.	staff and		
	menagement purposes. Glen Mills	(see corrective action for 3500.33(a)) Provide all staff with written notice	youth except	-	
	staff are sufficiently threatening to children that one child, D.	that the seven level system of behavior			
	.	1	neets the		
	stated that he inflicted serious injuries to himself in order to	management is abolished effective in- mediately. Establish and implement	requirements		ŀ
	get to a medical facility in an	facility policy regarding the proced-	of 3800, 202-20	3;	l l
	effort to report abuse to someone	uses that must be followed prior to an	, Ismediately.		
	outside the facility. One child, C,	physical contact between staff and	Inform youth		î
	wrote to a perent stating that he	youth that comply with 3500,202-213.	and document		
	was hit by staff but asking the	Establish a progressive disciplinary	in youth's		

:ensing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

parent not to report this to anyone for feat of retailation by staff. One youth, E, stand then staff to add him that it was written into Glen Mills rolizy that children can be purched by staff soychere from the seck to the waist. Eight of the children reported that they were kicked, purched, "chopped in the throce", "slapped, pushed or alsomatine walls by eighteen different Glen Mills staff neshers from six different likes staff reserve. Conflut saff refulling worth and into walls by eighteen different Glen Mills staff neshers from six different man living units. Coc Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Se	GULATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	CORRECTION REQUERED	RECURRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	5. PLAN OF COPRECTION/PESPOYER STATUS
	œ	for fear of retaliation by staff. One youth, E, stated that staff told him that it was written into Glen Mills policy that children can be punched by staff anywhere from the meck to the waist. Eight of the children reported that they were kicked, punched, "chopped in the throat", slapped, pushed or slammed into walls by eighteen different Glen Mills staff members from six differ-	ance with the policy. Retain a consultant approved by the Department to assist with preparation of facility policy and disciplinary program. Retrain all staff using curticulum and trainers approved by the Department. Conduct staff training with consultant and Departmental staff present. Conduct meetings with all youth with consultant and Departmental staff present. Informall youth in writing of their rights and the ability to make child abuse allegations that will be reported to Childline. After establishing this with all youth currently in residence all youth admitted to the program must be informed of their right to be free from abuse or mistreatment and documentation signed by the youth must be maintained in the youth's record. All new staff must be trained and a signed statement from the employee must be maintained in the employee	statement signed by pouth: 10 work days following re- ceipt of LIS. Retain Department approved consultent: 15 work days following receipt of LIS. Retrain all staff and document in staff records with signed statement by staff: begin 60 calendar days following receipt of LIS, con- plete 90 calendar days following receipt of		

Busing/Approvai/Registration inspection animary - Continuation aneet

The facility's practice that children are not permitted to speak by the phone with their parent, protection officers or departmental stuff without a Glen Mills stuff mather present is unreasonable and violates the child's right to communicate with others by relegione. Several children interviewed by departmental staff reported that they fear rotalisation from Glen Mills staff persons if regative coments are under to callers. The juvenile probation officers reported to the department in February and March, 2000 that they question they receive from the venezity of information they receive from the department in reported to the department in reported to the department in February and March, 2000 that they question they receive from the remaining of not permitting private releiphone communication. One parent stated that during her visias to her son, she was one permitted to speak privately with him. One child, F, reported that he could not get to a telephone when he was introuble and was not permitted to speak privately on the telephone as a satter of facility policy.	BURATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3 CORRECTION REQUIRED	A. REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDERS PLAN OF CORRECTION OF RESPONSE	B. PLAY OF CORRECTION/RESPONSE STAZON
	i(ic	ren are not permitted to speak by telephone with their parent, protation officers or departmental staff without a Glen Mills staff member present is unreasonable and violates the child's right to communicate with others by telephone. Several children interviewed by departmental staff reported that they fear retalization from Glen Mills staff persons if negative comments are made to tallers. Two juvenile probation officers reported to the department in February and March, 2000 that they question the veracity of information they receive from children when speaking with children by telephone due to Glen Mills policy of not permitting private telephone communication. One parent stated that during her visits to her son, she was not permitted to speak privately with him. One child, F, reported that he could not get to a telephone when he was in trouble and was not permitted to speak privately on the telephone as a	have private telephone conversations with family members without the presence of facility staff unless such private contact is prohibited by the committing jurisdiction. Establish a policy regarding private telephone calls.	Tomediately	#6 See Attached Response Page 20	•

:ansing/Approval/Hegistration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

GULATION	NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	CORRECTION REQUIRED	4. REQUIRED S CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION ON RESPONSE	6. Plan of Eurafection/Pesponse Staris
1,32(g)(1) ific RS.	children's right to sent and receive mail by reading all outgoing mail to assure that no negative comments are made by youth to others about their treatment at the facility.		Imaliately	#7 See Attached Response Page 21	•
32(k) fic s. O		Provide medical and dental care to all youth who request care and all youth whose condition indicates to staff that they need care.	Imediately	#8 See Attached Response Page 22	

ensing/Approval/Registration inspection Summary - Commutation Sneet

GULATION	MON-COMPLIANCE AREA	CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	5. Man of Dorme Choice Status
32(n) Fic es.	On or about February 2, 2000 the facility subjected a child, D, to punishment and discipline that the child stated caused him to inflict serious injuries to himself in order to get to a hospital where he could report alleged abuse by Glen Mills staff persons. On or about February 10, 2000, a child, C, stated to departmental staff that he would kill himself if he was returned to the facility.	Cease all physical contect and werbal barassment of youth.	Immediately (see also corrective action for 3800.32(b))	#9 See Affached Response Page 23	
33(e) bition st vation ghts.	the following specific rights: the right to be free from abuse, mis- treatment, threats, and harassment, to send mail without having it opened or read by facility staff, to receive appropriate medical and dental care	and what it means. Submit written notice to be provided to youth and their parents to the Department for approval.	US. Documentation functions and documentation of receipt or notice signer by youth and placed in your records: 15 work days	ith	
3(b)	child abuse by Glen Mills staff member following 13 reports of alleged child	Cease all actions that impede access to youth or obstruct or delay the ability of the Department and its) authorized agents to privately interview youth to review facility records or to move freely about the facility grounds.	following receipt of L	S. #I i See Attached Response Page 25	CY 305 I&B

censing/Approvat/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

CULATION	MDN-COMPLIANCE AREA	CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	6. PLAN OF COMPECTION SEASONS STARTS
12	member X was permitted to continue to work directly with children after he was named as a perpetrator in an August, 1999 indicated case of abuse and again in a February, 2000 report of alleged abuse. As observed by a staff person from the State of Delaware on February 11, 2000, an assistant district attorney and a state police officer on or about February 15, 2000 and by departmental staff on March 8, 2000. The facility does not meet the required child care worker to child ratios of one child care worker for every eight children in three facilities. Four staff members from Van Buren facility, reported that they are responsible for between 10 and 13 students each. The facility houses 66 students and has four child care workers and two supervisors assigned to it. The child, B, reported that in Johnson facility there are 48 children in residence with four child care staff Monday through Friday and 2-3 child care staff on weekends. The child, G, reported that in Taylor facility there are 68 children in residence and four child care staff.	Add sufficient child care staff to meet the staff to child ratios.	60 calendar days following receipt of LIS.	#12 See Attached Response Page 26	

ensing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sneet

PAGE 13 0r PAGES 2 14 ⋅

GULATION	NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	D. CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	8. PLAN OF CONKECTION/RESPONSE STATUS
147(a) f cco.	The facility penalts the use and possession of tobacco by children and staff persons. On February II, 2000 a child, C, was penaltted to stoke cigarettes sent to him by family members. On March 23, 2000, facility staff W stated that students can bring cigarettes or have them mailed by parents. Any student can smoke if they want to. One parent also reported that she brought cigarettes to the facility for her son. One child, B, reported that students can stoke outside of brildings or wherever there are ashtrays.	Cease all smoking by youth.	Inediately	#13 See Affached Response Page 27	
irict <u>ive</u> ires.(u)	The facility's level system, particularly "touch for attention", was used in a punitive manner for ten children. The level system is applied to administer corporal punishment for behavior management purposes.	Abolish the seven level behavior management system.	See correcting action for 3900.32(b) and 3800.33(a).	#14 See Attached Response Page 28	
l, } sental mty Respon- ies for buse	The facility has conducted its own investigations of child abuse allegations and reached its own conclusions regarding whether the reports are substantiated in violation of 23 Pa.C.S. 61 and 55 Pa. Code Chapter 3490.51, 53 and 81. The Department is the sole civil agency responsible for investigation of allegations of abuse when the allegations relate to persons who are considered agents of a county children and youth agency. In	Cease conducting investigations and interviews with youth who are named as victims in allegations of child abuse until the child abuse investigation has been completed. Notify all facility staff in writing that youth involved in child abuse situations are not to be interviewed by anyone at the facility regarding the incident under investigation until the child abuse investigation has been completed. Provide evidence	work days following receipt of	#15 See Attathed Response Page 29	
	plans of supervision submitted by Managing Director in correspondence from facility coun- sel	that written notice has been pro- vided to all staff to the Department.	LIS.		

censing/Approval/Registration Inspection Summary - Continuation Sheet

PAGE 14 UF PAUSES 14

ÆGULATION	2. NON-COMPLIANCE AREA	3. CORRECTION REQUIRED	REQUIRED CORRECTION DATE	5. PROMDERTS PLAN OF CORRECTION OR RESPONSE	G. PLAN OF EDANECTION/RESPONSE STATUS
	made a determination regarding that the reports were not substantiated, the facility determined that no plan of supervision was needed.			- `	£
	or other viction was recover.				
14			1		
					:
		-			
	1				
			<u> </u>		<u> </u>